

# Canal Winchester

*Town Hall  
10 North High Street  
Canal Winchester, OH 43110*



## Meeting Minutes - FINAL

July 1, 2019

6:00 PM

## Council Work Session

*Mike Walker – Chair*

*Jill Amos*

*Will Bennett*

*Bob Clark*

*Mike Coolman*

*Bruce Jarvis*

*Patrick Lynch*

**A. Call To Order**

*Walker called the meeting to order @ 6:03p.m.*

**B. Roll Call**

*Present 7 – Amos, Bennett, Clark, Coolman, Jarvis, Lynch, Walker*

**C. Also In Attendance**

*Mayor Ebert, Matt Peoples, Lucas Haire, Amanda Jackson*

**D. Request for Council Action****RES-19-014**

*Mayor*

A Resolution Authorizing The Labor Day Festival Committee To Operate The Annual "Canal Winchester Labor Day Festival" On The Various Streets And Sidewalks Of The City Of Canal Winchester (**Resolution**)

*- Request to move to full Council*

*Mayor: Thank you Mr. Walker; pretty much the same as it's been for the last 99 years; the only thing that has changed is the date, August 31, September 1 & 2 this year.*

***A motion was made by Coolman to move RES-19-014 to full council, seconded by Clark. The motion carried with the following vote:***

***Yes 7 – Coolman, Clark, Amos, Bennett, Jarvis, Lynch, Walker***

**RES-19-015**

*Development*

A Resolution Approving The Mayor'S Appointment Of Kevin Serna To Serve A Four-Year Term As A Member Of The Planning And Zoning Commission Expiring On July 1, 2023 (**Resolution**)

*- Request to move to full Council*

*Mayor: Kevin is the assistant vice president of Liberty National Bank, where he's in charge of commercial lending – he's assisted many businesses around the central Ohio market with expanding and building in new locations; he's lived in Canal Winchester about 4 years in the Canal Cove area, I think he would be a good fit to the planning and zoning commission; Lynch: Do the applicants usually come in to introduce themselves before the appointment? Mayor: Typically, we don't; Jarvis: More often not; their background is the main point; I don't know this gentleman, either; Lynch: I don't either; why does he want to get on zoning? Mayor: He's lived here in Canal Winchester for 4 years, he was interested in being a part of something; this was available and we mentioned it to him, and he was interested; Coolman: Does this fill all the vacancies? Mayor: Yes, we've had a vacancy since the beginning of the year; it was difficult to find somebody; we had people in mind that we would ask, and nobody wanted to do it; Lynch: When does the next opening come up for zoning? Haire: It will be in December, at the end of the year; Lynch: I know people have expressed interest, I've told them to come in and talk to you, and evidently they have not.*

***A motion was made by Jarvis to move RES-19-015 to full council, seconded by Lynch. The motion carried with the following vote:***

***Yes 7 – Jarvis, Lynch, Amos, Bennett, Clark, Coolman, Walker***

**ORD-19-042**

*Finance*

An Ordinance Approving The Editing And Inclusion Of Certain Ordinances As Parts Of The Various Component Codes Of The Codified Ordinances Of Canal Winchester, Ohio; And Declaring An Emergency (**Ordinance**)

*- Request to move to full Council*

*Jackson: Thank you Mr. Walker, this is an ordinance that we do every year; we have a company called Walter Drane that asks us for a list of all the ordinances and resolutions that we passed in the past year, they go through which ones that need to be codified and they compile those into this ordinance, along with any changes at the state level that we need to make; that is what you see before you tonight, everything that we need to add to the codified's; they ask us to make this an emergency due to try and get these in place – some of these have been outstanding since last May or June when they did this last; Amos: I know last year when this came through, we asked if there was a potential to see these beforehand; I know a lot of these are probably reflections of the Ohio Revised Code; I know we are voting to amend these, but we aren't seeing anything that we are amending; Jackson: This is all I get – if you want each one of these, I'd have to pull them from the state codified ordinances; Amos: When I tried to go on to the site that manages ours, there's nothing I could see; Jackson: I guess I am confused; Mayor: Do we house these on our site, references to these? Jackson: Yes, I don't know why you can't see these that have been on our site already; Amos: I can't see the amended versions; Jackson: That would be correct, because you haven't approved them; Amos: That's what I was trying to get at, that I can't look at them ahead of time; Jackson: Right, I don't have them to give to you; I would have to go through and figure out what they amended, and send that to you; Amos: I was unaware that no one got a red-lined version; Jackson: I actually had to email them for an electronic version, because they still send paper copies; Mayor: I think you asked that same question last year, and Gene answered it.*

*Lynch: Is there changes to each one of these ordinances? Jackson: Every one of them; as you can see, these all have to do with traffic code – these are things you would not have voted on, these are at a state level; Coolman: These are amendments to stay up-to-date on the current language of the state; Jackson: That is correct.*

***A motion was made by Coolman to move RES-19-015, seconded by Clark. The motion carried with the following vote:***

***Yes 7 – Coolman, Clark, Amos, Bennett, Jarvis, Lynch, Walker***

**E. Reports**

*Matt Peoples - Thank you Mr. Walker, from my written report – we met with the mayor from the Village of Lithopolis; I think you all know that we serve their wastewater system; they have their own system, but we treat the wastewater; we are in the 7<sup>th</sup> year of our 10 year agreement with them; there is an*

*automatic renegotiation clause in there for the 7<sup>th</sup> year; the few minor tweaks we'd like to see – probably the biggest problem is with their iodine infiltration, and rainwater getting into their system; they can triple their average flow during a rain event; they haven't been able to get a handle on that for quite some time, that is probably the biggest thing; we looked at some type of incentive package for them where it would start – if they go over 'x' amount of flow, then our rates will go up; right now, they are paying 70% of what our standard rates are; the reason for that is that they handle all of their own collection system; when we setup this original contract 7 years ago, we calculated that our cost for our own collections system is 25% of our total expenditures; we extrapolated that out into our rates, and gave them a flat fee; it was a raise to their rates from what it was originally; they were at about the 60% range, that was just set by council; that's the biggest thing we are looking at; we have had recently a much better relationship than we have had in the past; previously, right about the time of this contract, we had a very contentious relationship with them; it was vastly improved over the term of this contract; we have provided them with services for that, and they were very happy with how the contract was, knowing there would be a few tweaks in there; their INI is costing them a lot of money as well, because they still get billed on all of that flow, they are trying to do it – they have some struggles with trying to find where all that is; Steve Smith will attest to that, he likes to call it a 'death by 1,000 cups'; there are so many little places where water can enter into the system; that's a possibility of just going ahead and extending that agreement, before it even expires; I expect that with a tone of cooperation.*

*Jarvis: In the case of local residents, their water consumption is what drives their sewer rates, right? Peoples: Yes; Jarvis: In that case, there must be a separate meter? Peoples: We have 2 big meters for the Village of Lithopolis; one of them is at the plant, and one of them is over where they tie in to the backside of Ashbrook Village, along Streams End Drive; it's a master meter, so every bit of flow that comes through Canal Winchester from them is metered, and they pay for all of that flow; when it rains, we're still getting a revenue from that, but it is also taking up capacity at the plant, with all of the rainwater that is coming in; we are always going through and looking for sources of INI, getting those out of there; every couple of years we do an INI removal project with lining the sewers; Steve just got done with lining quite a few manholes this year to try and stop the INI as well; Jarvis: Now I understand, I was going to ask if they're paying for it, they're already paying for an incentive; Peoples: They are, that's where it's already costing them – while we are getting a revenue from that, it takes up capacity at the plant; the last thing we want to do is an expansion, just to be able to handle that; I'm not saying that we can avoid that, but the longer we can delay it by Steve doing his projects, the longer we will be able to go without having to do the expansion, which is quite a bit of money; we are already looking at a CYP of about \$5 million for an upgrade to our inflow station in about 5 years; that structure is from 1960, so I think we have outgrown it; Jarvis: Lithopolis – they were understanding of our position? Peoples: Yeah, it was conceptual as we talked to them about the varying rate; they also acknowledged that they know they have a problem that they are trying to work on; they are trying to get their residents on board; a lot of the old town is on what's called a step-system; each house has a pump tank outside where they collect their waste, then it's pumped in; if you think about the topography in Lithopolis, there's a lot of hills and valleys; it's every year, we are working on something to find; it is for every system.*

*Clark: Do they have a storm and sewer separation? Peoples: They have separate storm sewers; Clark: And they're still getting that much INI? Peoples: All of their new growth is new sewer, which should have relatively low amounts of INI if it was installed correctly – our new pump stations and sewer don't have as much infiltration as Groveport lift station that takes in West Waterloo area; we can definitely tell, we double our flow at that station; Lynch: Mr. Peoples, we serve all of Lithopolis? Peoples: Yes, everything*

*that has a sewer; Ox Nest does not have sewer, they are on septic; Bluebird, a new subdivision, they do have sewer and we are serving that; Lynch: We are alright capacity-wise here, I've been hearing the reports of 40-50% capacity; as they grow and build, it's not going to hurt us? Peoples: We are a little bit over that, we are probably at about 60% at the wastewater plant; Steve has gained quite a bit of efficiency, so that's hydraulic capacity; with the addition of BrewDog, our biological capacity is at 80%; we have still been able to manage very well, we don't expect any large-scale expansion any time soon; the existing wastewater contract with Lithopolis is a cap of 500,000 gallons a day, and that is over a 30-day period; any new development is factored into that; ultimately, we have to approve their PTI's – permit to install – for any sanitary facilities in the Village; Lynch: So we almost have a little bit of control over their growth; Peoples: A little bit, we can't stop the development by any means, but we can raise some concerns as part of the contract; they're probably averaging 160,000 a day, and their cap is 500,000; there is still plenty to go – they are less than half of their capacity; Lynch: Who is checking where we are having all of those INI infiltrations? Peoples: That's why they have 75% of our rate, because they are 100% responsible for their system; once it hits the meter, then it's ours; the meter is right at our corporation boundaries, at Ashbrook Road; they've had a force-main to the plant since 1988; Lynch: So we have been taking theirs since '88? Peoples: Yes.*

*Bennett: Mr. Peoples, one question – I may have misheard you; I heard you say 75%; do we charge them 70 or 75%? Peoples: I'm sorry, it's 70%; Bennett: Did you say that our residents – what was the part about our rate being 25%? Peoples: Our rate is roughly \$6 per thousand gallons; Lithopolis pays 70% of that; when we did this originally, we figured out that our collection system cost is 30% of our annual, at that time.*

*Lucas Haire - Thank you Mr. Walker, a few items from my written report; Planning & Zoning has a few items on their agenda for their meeting next Monday; they're going to be reviewing 2 warehouse buildings on Winchester Boulevard, those are 814,000 square feet for the proposed Winchester Logistics park; that would include extending Winchester Boulevard by 800 feet; the other application on their agenda is a conditional use application for a convenience store and fuel station at the corner of Trillion Avenue and Gender Road; they will be reviewing the application for that – that's the parcel in front of the COTA Park & Ride; we've also received building permit applications for a Scrambler's restaurant located at 6402 Winchester Boulevard – that's the former Blockbuster location; that's been vacant for 7 years; it's good to have something finally going in that space; they will be doing some improvements to the building, adding an outdoor patio; we are excited to have that space finally filled; Coolman: Where is that at? Haire: It's in the Winchester Square shopping center, the center that's anchored by Kroger; Jarvis: Mr. Haire, the convenience store that's going in front of the Park & Ride – will you be able to enter it from Gender Road, a right-turn only? Haire: A couple of items to clarify – it's not necessarily going to be built, they've applied for a conditional use for Planning & Zoning to determine if it's appropriate; the traffic study that was completed said that they will restrict left-hand turns out of Trillium onto Gender Road; there is nothing in their plans that they have shown us that state that; their traffic study contemplated that, and evaluated it in that nature, but there is nothing in the plans that restrict that turn movement; the administration is recommending that Planning & Zoning deny the application.*

*Amanda Jackson - Thank you Mr. Walker, just one thing – I wanted to let you know that we had a great weekend at the pool; the weather was very cooperative, I'm sure you could see just how packed it was;*

*I'm still trying to tally everything, but it looks like we are over \$10,000 in those 3 days – Friday, Saturday and Sunday; this is fantastic, considering we have had a lot of wet weather, and not so great days at the pool; it was very successful, that's all I have.*

#### **F. Items for Discussion**

#### **G. Old/New Business**

*Jarvis: Since we have a little bit of time between now and the next meeting, the Committee of the Whole is tentatively scheduled for July 29<sup>th</sup>; it's still tentative – I don't know whether we have locked in on topics; we talked about having a longer discussion about hands-free devices; we also talked about the community outreach – trying to institutionalize that more with some procedures; I don't know whether it's everybody's desire to do that on the 29<sup>th</sup>?*

*Bennett: When we develop whether it's hands-free, or a new ordinance around texting and driving, are we also responsible for putting the timeframe together on when it would be enacted? If there was a 6-month grace period, or if we needed signs around the city – who develops that plan for how it's implemented and executed? Mayor: I think we could put a timeframe, or whatever we want it to be; we could put it as active on January 1; Bennett: I just didn't know who is responsible for the rollout, who is putting that together – if it's a city responsibility, or if it has to be part of the ordinance; Jarvis: That's a perfect example of the kind of thing we could talk about; right now, I don't know where we are at – we have heard from Gene, we know what the state is doing, we know what other communities are doing; Bexley is our model that we were looking at; Bennett: There are other townships and cities – Jarvis: I guess the question is that if we are going to have that meeting, who is going to take the point on the discussion? Are we looking to Gene to continue from a legal standpoint; it might be outside of the scope of his retainer, I don't know; do we just want to have an open poll session about it?*

*Clark: I thought somebody was going to look into Bexley to see how it's implemented there, what kind of tickets they're writing – Sargent Cassel, right; Jackson: I spoke with the mayor's court clerk; she told me they have written less than a hundred tickets under that ordinance; they haven't had too much pushback, mostly because it's a 0 point offense, meaning there is no points that go on your license; I asked her about proof – they have bodycams, our deputies do not, something to consider if someone were to come in and fight it; that's all she had to say, I'm not sure if Sargent Cassel talked to the police chief or not; Bennett: Ms. Jackson, do you happen to know if Fairfield is planning on instituting bodycams at any point? Jackson: They don't even have cameras on their cars, so my guess is no; we have never been officially told that; Mayor: I would say that it would happen in the future, though; I would say first thing is to get car cameras, before they get bodycams; they may come together; I saw somebody just got them, I don't remember who. (Discussion ensued)*

*Lynch: Has there been any feedback from any of the guys and county prosecutor about whether that's necessary? Mayor: I have not heard any complaints from our guys about whether they need them or want them. (Discussion ensued)*

*Jarvis: Should council plan on meeting on the 29<sup>th</sup> to discuss this further? Clark: I'm okay with it; Jarvis: If no one has any objections, then the only other thing is if that's the only item on the agenda, or should we talk about the public meetings?*

*Amos: I'll recap what we've done – we had a meeting last weekend, we had 15 attendees; we sent notes to everybody, we have sent out a sign-up sheet per request from other council members; to date, no one has signed up; Jarvis: I signed up for July; Amos: You did sign up, yes; we also sent out some suggested language on Community Coffee, just some thoughts, I didn't hear from anybody else; what we're doing is a community-based service; the conversations that took place I think were fantastic – we're not going to stop doing them, we are going to continue asking our city staff to help us with some of the answers that are coming from our community; I appreciate the responses that I've gotten; Amanda did a great job on gathering responses, and getting them turned into us; we'll be reaching out to them.*

*Walker: Do you have the names of those folks? Amos: I do; Walker: Is there any chance that you can share? Amos: I do not have it in front of me right now; Walker: Everyone was from Canal Winchester, all are residents? Amos: They all reside within Canal Winchester's city corporation limits; they are active participants in all of the things that we have going on in our community. (Discussion ensued)*

*Walker: I had asked several times to come, and was rejected; the time I was coming, it was cancelled; I was asked again, but it was no date and no time – I need a time and a date; I've been for it, I've never been against for this to happen – Bennett: I think it has been formalized now Mr. Walker, there is that sheet out there now.*

*Walker: Is there any reason not to share the names? Amos: I'm going to agree to disagree; you asked one time to attend, and the date did get cancelled – I apologize for it being last minute; I don't recall any emails where you asked to be put on the next one; after I sent out the sign-up sheet, I didn't see you jump on there. (Discussion ensued)*

*Bennett: The only reason to not share the names – I don't know that we'd discussed with residents about publishing that; if there were an issue where we are trying to help a resident – there are residents who don't feel comfortable going to the city; not sharing the list of those who attend may provide some comfort in working through a different venue; I'm only playing devil's advocate; personally, I don't mind sharing the names – there just might be a reason to not share the names; the only other part of that is that I'd have to start documenting who said what. (Discussion ensued) Coolman: I don't know why that would be necessary when it's being filmed; he was just asking for a list. (Discussion ensued)*

*Coolman: I have something I want to say about this topic – I thought long and hard about this; back in December, when it was first proposed to us, I put out an email to everybody that I had some concerns about it; I stated in that email that I think it's a great avenue, I'm not against it; that was the question mark – the city website was too difficult to access, so this would be great to gather how they get their information; the concern that I had back in December has come full-circle; one of them was the use of the public buildings; I stated this in our last meeting – I don't want to be a part of something that is going to be viewed by the public as favoritism because of council status; the statements were put out that – I'll just read what I prepared; I put a lot of thought into it; according to social media, and a recent Facebook post that myself and the mayor were referred to as not supporting the Community Coffees; this is not the case, let me make it clear the Mayor Ebert has always said that it's up to city council to change the ordinance for the use of the Interurban building; additionally, my concerns don't mean that I don't support this idea; as a matter of fact, I want to read what I sent to each city council member in December 2018; it says that I personally would need to review all information associated with any event that would involve my endorsement; the material I would like to review would include an agenda format for discussion topics, selection process of participating council members, and a published, written statement for city council members that cannot participate; the advertising content, the budget of*

expenses – who is paying for what – and the source of expense; I believe that every city council member should be given a fair opportunity to review information, and to ask questions about it before they make a decision; I also have reservations concerning the amount of increased questions that arise at these meetings that are going to be dumped onto the city workers; in December I was concerned about the need for city resources – I was told back then that I'm 'putting the cart before the horse'; however, now we are asking the mayor if he could give an exception to an ordinance that does not allow us to use a city building on the weekends; I stated that the coffees would cause additional work for the staff, and it has; the bigger problem is that the notes from the meetings are not submitted on a timely basis; as a matter of fact, Matt Peoples was recently snipped at when he called an individual who was at the coffee – Matt was ridiculed by this individual, and all Matt said was 'I was just notified'; basically, emails have gone out inviting people to attend; my not attending, my not participating is not a reflection that I don't believe in it – I think it's a good thing, I just can't do it because I have a lot of other things going on; I am the president of a non-profit in town, and I am the vice president of another non-profit that hosts events – as a matter of fact, you'll find me down at the Farmers Market every Saturday; I just want everybody to have a fair play; I don't like how these kind of topics have separated us, we're all elected by our public, we are supposed to be working together and it seems like a struggle; there is a way to do it – a right way, and a wrong way; all I am trying to say is that I don't want to be a part of something that is being done the wrong way because of our position; I want to be part of something that is positive, and I want to be able to work in unison with the city; lastly, it's got to be together – the social media aspect of this I think has gotten out of control.

Walker: To add to that, to know the names is for that reason – to know if these are concerns of actual citizens of Canal Winchester, or from another city; people are commenting that aren't even from here; Jarvis: I know that everybody here likes to represent, and to talk with constituents, and to hear what they have to say; I don't think there is a single one of us here in the room that wouldn't support that; it's clear to me that this from the start has had 2 schools of thought – some feel that it is a council event, in which case there is a lot of construct that needs to happen; I think that is where that pressure is coming from; in fact, it started out as a grassroots effort that a couple of individuals on council happened to start; the comment was made – if the tent is big enough, everyone can come in; we have been at odds with different ways of looking at this from the very start; as I sit here, I'm not sure how to put it back together; on one hand, maybe it should remain a grassroots effort, maybe that is where it's in its purest form; trying to do some kind of a hybrid is going to be problematic.

Clark: I didn't see a problem with the way that Brigid Krueger came in and presented the pool situation to all of us; there was give and take amongst several different council people – that's addressing council at the same time, and we learn about the issue firsthand; that's how I think communication should be handled with council; that's an example of the way I feel; if we need another evening meeting to have that kind of session, I don't have a problem with that; I think that would be better than getting this stuff third-hand.

Lynch: Mr. Clark, I couldn't agree more with you; the way we handled that question when Ms. Krueger came in here was a perfect scenario; we were able to provide feedback – I'd love to see that happen all of the time; I realize that it's challenging to do considering there are time constraints; Clark: There would have to be some rules.

Bennett: Technically, the back and forth with Ms. Krueger was a violation of council rules. (Discussion ensued)

*Bennett: These things do take time to put structure in place; in an ideal state, we can all say how it goes; it takes time to actually put that practice in place; at the last meeting, I took notes during the event, that way afterwards we could review them; full council had an opportunity to review it on Sunday, and the meeting notes went to city staff – Amanda is copied on that – I think this month as council would have wanted it to work; Clark: Other than we didn't know who said what; if we were all here, we could see the citizen; Walker: My other concern is that Mr. Peoples – we just want to make sure that – he might have been a little embarrassed, at the last moment he didn't know something or couldn't answer it; somebody was wondering why he didn't respond, and he didn't even know about it.*

*Peoples: It was insinuated that it was a failure on my part; Bennett: Can you elaborate on that? Amos: I would question the same thing – Peoples: It was the drainage issue over in Canal Cove; Bennett: That didn't come up at Community Coffee; Jackson: He is not talking about this month; Lynch: I talked to a gentleman who addressed that, and was absolutely amazed as to how well the city took care of it; Peoples: It was 2.5 weeks after the complaint; Lynch: I talked to this individual 2-3 weeks ago, and he was very pleased with how you addressed the situation; Bennett: Mr. Peoples, let me apologize to you for putting you in that situation; what that illustrates is that there needs to be timely response to you and staff; hopefully this month you felt that it was timely and appropriate; Peoples: My only concern is that are we playing the game of telephone; we're in our office all the time, there's hardly a moment that the mayor's door is closed; if we are having problems with an individual who has an issue, it should be directed to someone who can take care of it immediately; it shouldn't go through any sequence – if there is an issue, we want to take care of it immediately; Lynch: You said at the last meeting that you're giving people voices since they don't have someone to listen to them – I think they're calling the wrong person; we're always here, and always able to help – emails don't linger, that's just not the way we do things.*

*Lynch: I direct a lot of people to you – the service is there, and it's great; I do encourage people to contact you on a regular basis; Peoples: That's not to detract anything, it's just a concern of mine – if we have a problem, it shouldn't take 2-3 weeks to get back to someone; I don't want to speak for Mr. Haire, but one of the questions we had was why we purchased the land out there; that is a major philosophical position that we have; having one paragraph doesn't do it justice – that's my feeling on the responses; that should have been a direct conversation with Luke, to see how we are actually doing things; commercial development – that's what runs this town; people don't understand, and that's the problem; Lynch: Whenever I talk to someone, I always caveat it with 'to my understanding', and clarify that they should contact you guys; one thing that happens is that we prevent questions, because we can give clarification as we understand it; I think the worst question is one not asked, and I think a lot of times people are just aren't asking questions; people tend to stew, and when they stew, bad things happen; if we can't provide full clarity, we refer them to you; as elected officials, we are trying to stop some of those questions.*

*Peoples: That's my job to do, I answer questions on a daily basis; I'm not saying that I'm questioning your ability to answer – Bennett: What we do – I don't think it's to prevent them coming to city staff, I think you are the best resource; a lot of times we are advocating for contacting the city; that's some of the conversation, always, that the people we have working for the city are great; Lynch: Sometimes they don't know who to call, so we can point them in the right direction; Coolman: I'd like to make one last comment – Jill, you're right I did not have a chance to respond to your outline; you had on there that the responses that are gathered from the attendees are to be answered by the city, and responded back to the attendees; I was wondering if you could consider that any responses from the city to go back to the council members who attended the coffee, but also council members who cannot; I say that selfishly,*

*because personally between now and when the snow flies, my weekends are taken with the nonprofits I'm running; Amos: Mr. Coolman, the city responded on the Google sheet that you have access to, and all their answers were on there.*

*Amos: Mr. Peoples – this is where I struggle; you are one of the most approachable people on city staff; I openly pass out your phone number; I hear Ms. Jackson and Mr. Coolman say that 'you're adding additional work to us', and then I hear you saying 'tell them to call us'; I hear of a problem that happened that someone is saying happened at Community Coffee that never made it back to us, but then Mr. Coolman knows about it; obviously there is a breakdown in communication – we're not trying to dump a bunch of work on you guys, we think our staff is phenomenal; the Community Coffee offers a nice, casual setting; the people would love to talk to Mr. Haire, they would love to sit down and pick his brain; we want the community to have a conversation with us and everybody not be opposed to it; it's finding that comfortable medium, so that we can keep our community coming and being involved; that's the one thing – I absolutely love doing Community Coffee for that reason; a participant asked why the city doesn't put this out there openly, and to Will's credit he said they do, that it's in the city council minutes and the mayor's newsletter.*

**H. Adjournment @ 7:02 p.m. A motion was made by Lynch to adjourn, seconded by Coolman. The motion carried with the following vote:**

**Yes 6 - Lynch, Coolman, Amos, Clark, Jarvis, Walker**

**No 1 - Bennett**